## ScanSoft, Inc. v. Voice Signal Technologies, Inc.

## Comparison of Complaint and Amended Complaint

Amended Complaint	Complaint
Par. 1	Identical to Par. 1.
Par. 2	Identical to Par. 2.
Par. 3	Identical to Par. 3.
Par. 4	Identical to Par. 4.
Par. 5	Identical to Par. 5.
Par. 6	Identical to Par. 6.
Par. 7	Identical to Par. 7.
Par. 8	Identical to Par. 8.
Par. 9	Identical to Par. 9.
Par. 10	Identical to Par. 10.
Par. 11	Identical to Par. 11.
Par. 12	Identical content to Par. 12; virtually identical
	wording (Amended Complaint deletes
	reference to L&H acquisition date; adds
	generalized description of L&H technologies
	from Par. 13 of the Complaint).
Par. 13	Amended Complaint references the date of the
	L&H acquisition (formerly contained in
	Par. 36).
Par. 14	Identical to first sentence of Par. 12 (ScanSoft
	name substituted for L&H).
Par. 15	Identical to Par. 14
Par. 20	Identical to Par. 53
Par. 21	Identical to Par. 54
Par. 22	Identical to Par. 17 (with addition of one
	sentence from Par. 18).
Par. 23	Same content as Par. 18, but condensed, and
	rephrased.
Par. 24	Same content as Par. 20 and 37, slightly
	rephrased.
Par. 25	Several smaller paragraphs combined into one
	large paragraph – same content (and often
	same sentences) as Par. 22, 39, 40, 41 and 51.
Par. 26	Same content as Par. 43, but reference to
	"Employment Agreements" omitted.
Par. 27	Identical to Par. 45 (but last sentence of 45
	deleted).

Do- 20	Several smaller paragraphs combined into one
Par. 28	large paragraph – same content (and many of
	the same sentences) as Par. 46, 47, 48, 49, 50
	and 51.
Par. 29	Same content as Par. 55 and 58.
Par. 30	Same content as Par. 59.
Par. 31	Same content as Par. 59 (Amended Complaint
Par. 31	adds allegation concerning VST's technical
	capabilities).
Par. 32	Same content as Par. 60.
1 at. 52	San vondit as I at vo.
Par. 34	Same content as Par. 1 (Amended Complaint
	adds allegation that ScanSoft assisted in the
	development of litigation strategy in the
	L&H/VST litigation).
Par. 36	Same content as Par. 16 (reference to L&H
	technology development deleted).
Par. 37	Same content as Par. 53.
Par. 38	Identical to Par. 54 ("has taken precautions"
	changed to "takes precautions").
Par. 39	Same content as Par. 70, except Amended
	Complaint alleges, on information and belief,
	that defendants are continuing to use L&H
	trade secrets. Complaint alleged that
	Defendants had "used and disclosed" trade
	secrets.
Par. 41	Identical to Par. 62.
Par. 42	Identical to Par. 63.
Par. 43	Identical to Par. 64.
Par. 44	Identical to Par. 65.
Par. 45	Identical to Par. 66.
Par. 46	Identical to Par. 67.
Par. 47	Identical to Par. 68 ("restrictive covenants and
	ongoing obligations" added in place of
	"ongoing obligations").
Par. 48	Identical to Par. 69 ("restrictive covenants and
	ongoing obligations" added in place of
	"ongoing obligations").
Par. 49	Same content as Par. 70 (reference to previous
	allegation added; "continue to use and
	disclose" added in place of "used and
D 50	disclosed").
Par. 50	Identical to Par. 71.

Par. 51	Identical to Par. 72.
Par. 52	Identical to Par. 73.
Par. 53	Identical to Par. 74.
Par. 54	Identical to Par. 75.
Par. 55	Identical to Par. 76.
Par. 56	Identical to Par. 77.
Par. 57	Identical to Par. 78.
Par. 59	Identical content as Par. 80 ("to breach their employment obligations and covenants"
	replaced with "to breach their fiduciary
	obligations and restrictive covenants").

3701693v1